CLERKS OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT HARRISONBURG, VA
FILED
TO COMPANY
TO COMPAN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

MCAIRLAIDS, INC.,	BY: DOTST
Plaintiff,))) Civil Action No. 7:13cv193
v.)
KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION, et al.,) By: Hon. Michael F. Urbanski) United States District Judge
Defendants.)

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER

For the reasons set forth in the Memorandum Opinion entered this day, the court construes the disputed terms of the '702 Patent as follows:

- 1. "Fiber web" requires no construction and will be given its plain and ordinary meaning;
- 2. "Rollable" requires no construction and will be given its plain and ordinary meaning;
- 3. "Non-separating fusion of the fibers occurs" means "the fibers which have been pressed onto one another can no longer be individually separated, piece-by-piece, from one another with a dissecting needle;"
- 4. "A pair of calender rolls having a pattern of point or line-shaped studs" means "two calender rolls where each roll has a pattern of point or line-shaped studs;" and
- 5. "Tear strength of the fiber web is at least 0.12 kN/m" means "tensile strength of the fiber web is at least 0.12 kN/m.

It is **SO ORDERED**.

Entered: 02-04-15

(s) Michael F. Urlanski

Michael F. Urbanski

United States District Judge